

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/493,753	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Craig Cox.

Status of Application: Amendment after non-final

(3) Lewis Bullock.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 January 2007

Time: 12pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to remove the "for" in claim 1, line 1 to overcome issues regarding 35 USC 101

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)